### 707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(59) and 707 KAR 1:310

	sciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
SLD 1	y, Referral for Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation The ARC documented evidence showing the student was provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the student's age or state-approved grade-level standards.		
SLD 2	The ARC documented evidence using either the Severe Discrepancy or Response to Intervention (RtI) method for determining the student meets criteria for SLD eligibility.		
	Interventions <b>must</b> be provided <u>in the academic area of concern</u> prior to or as part of the referral process for both <b>Method A and B</b> .		
	<ul> <li>Method A: Severe Discrepancy         The student exhibits a pattern of strengths and weaknesses in performance, achievement or both, relative to ability level or intellectual development.     </li> <li>Note: The ARC must use the <u>SLD Reference Tables</u> provided by the KDE.</li> </ul>		
	OR		
	Method B: Response to Intervention The student fails to achieve a rate of learning to make sufficient progress to meet grade-level standards aligned with the Kentucky Academic Standards (KAS) in one or more of the areas identified in SLD 3 when assessed based on the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention.		
	<b>NOTE:</b> The <u>SLD Guidance Document</u> provides more information on either method.		
SLD 3	The ARC documented a review and analysis of intervention and evaluation information showing the student does not achieve adequately, <b>as indicated</b> <b>on multiple data sources</b> , for the student's age or grade-level standards aligned to the KAS in one or more of the following areas.		
	<ul> <li>oral expression</li> <li>listening comprehension</li> <li>written expression</li> <li>basic reading skills</li> <li>reading fluency skills</li> <li>reading comprehension</li> <li>mathematics calculation</li> <li>mathematics reasoning</li> </ul>		
	Summary of Intervention Data in the Referral for Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation may contain the necessary information.		

	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
SLD 4	Referral for Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation         The ARC documented review and analysis of evaluation information         showing the deficits in achievement are not primarily the result of any of the following.         vision       screen date       □       Pass       □       Fail         hearing       screen date       □       Pass       □       Fail		
	Incurring       Incurring       Incurring       Incurring       Incurring         motor impairments       screen date       Image: Pass       Image: Fail         mental disability       Image: Pass       Image: Fail         mental disability       Image: Pass       Image: Fail         emotional-behavioral disability       Image: Pass       Image: Pass       Image: Fail         cultural factors       Image: Pass       Image: Pass       Image: No         environmental or economic disadvantage       Image: Pass       Image: No         limited English proficiency       Image: Pass       Image: No		
SLD 5	<ul> <li>The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction (SDI) is needed. The ARC:</li> <li>showed <i>how</i> the student's performance is <u>significantly and</u> <u>consistently</u> below similar age peers due to the disability</li> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant SDI</li> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented</li> <li>NOTE: <i>Educational Performance</i> includes academic, social and functional performance</li> </ul>		
SLD 6	<ul> <li>The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.</li> <li>Discussion may include, but is not limited to: <ul> <li>instruction provided by qualified personnel</li> <li>intervention data based on the student's response to instruction</li> <li>setting of instruction</li> <li>attendance records</li> <li>work samples</li> <li>disciplinary removals</li> </ul> </li> <li>NOTE: Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.</li> </ul>		

	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference , Referral for Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation	Yes	No
	or items 7a-7c the observation must be specifically targeted to the student's area	as of	
SLD 7a	The ARC documented the relevant behavior(s) noted during the observation.		
SLD 7b	The ARC documented the relationship of the observed behavior to the student's academic functioning.		
SLD 7c	A member of the ARC (other than the student's regular education teacher) conducted a behavior observation in the learning environment.		
SLD 8	The ARC documented any relevant medical findings, if any. (Mark yes if ARC documented there were no relevant medical findings.)		
SLD 9	The ARC documented the review and analysis of instructional <b>strategies</b> implemented and the student-centered data collected based on the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention.		
	<ul> <li>Discussion may include, but is not limited to:</li> <li>discussion of targeted skills</li> <li>discussion of progress from <i>each strategy</i> provided</li> <li>any programs listed <i>must</i> be accompanied by discussion of targeted skills and data to show progress</li> </ul>		
SLD 10a	<b>NOTE:</b> May be documented in the <i>Summary of Interventions and Data</i> section of the Referral for Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation. The ARC documented the student's parents were notified of the policies		
	<ul> <li>amount and nature of the student performance data that is collected and the general education services that are provided</li> <li>strategies for increasing the student's rate of learning</li> <li>parent's right to request an evaluation</li> </ul>		
SLD 10b	The ARC documented how the above information was provided to the parent.		
SLD 11	Each ARC member certified in writing whether the report reflected his or her conclusion. AND		
	If any ARC member disagreed, the differing conclusions are attached (associated in Infinite Campus) to the Eligibility Determination Form and Written Report.		

Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference Summary, Referral for Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation			No
SLD 12	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u><math>34 \text{ CFR} 300.306</math></u>		
	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No		
	OR		
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.		
	□ Yes □ No		
SLD 13	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in <u>707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1)</u> :		
	Required at all ARC meetings         parent(s)         regular education teacher of the student         special education teacher         district representative         individual qualified to interpret evaluation results		
	Additional members as appropriate individual with knowledge or special expertise of the student related services personnel the student		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.		